

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/771,079	02/03/2004	Frederick B. Growcock	2223-04401	1959
23505 75	590 09/27/2004		EXAMINER	
CONLEY ROSE, P.C. P. O. BOX 3267			TUCKER, PHILIP C	
	TX 77253-3267		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/27/2004	

DATE MINIED, 09/2//200

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\ ·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/771,079	GROWCOCK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Philip C Tucker	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication of the Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON. I. by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this commu	unication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
l	∑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the app	lication					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33-40</u> is/are allowed.			•			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 5</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		by the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objectio						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	<del>-</del>	` '	121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been received	1				
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		oplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	he priority documents have been	received in this National Stag	e			
application from the International		orangi	J			
* See the attached detailed Office action for		received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948) Paper No(s)	/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	0/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Int 6) ☐ Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	5, C Ottlet	_• 				

Art Unit: 1712

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-14 and 18-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brookey (US 2001/0027880 A1).

Brookey teaches a fluid for use in well drilling and servicing which comprises a surfactant within the scope of the present invention (see example 5 and paragraph 34), aphrons and a viscosifier which is also a stabilizer (paragraph 0036). The pH of the fluid is within the scope of claim 13 (paragraph 0023). Such viscosifier/stabilizer may be present within the scope fo the present invention (paragraph 0020). The fluid may contain additional viscosifiers (paragraph 0021). Such fluid being the same in scope with the present invention, would inherently have half-life properties and pressure stability properties within the scope of the present invention.

Art Unit: 1712

3. Claims 1-3 and 6-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brookey (6770601).

Brookey teaches an aphron containing drilling or servicing fluid which comprises a surfactant, which can be a betaine or alkyl ether sulfate (column 7, lines 14-28), and viscosifiers which also act as stabilizers (column 5, lines 1-12). Several such viscosifiers/stabilizers are listed from column 5, line 33 to column 7, line 13. Furthermore, combinations such as clay and viscosifier (column 7, lines 10-13), or combinations of polymers which would act as viscosifier and stabilizer are also disclosed (see Examples). Low shear rate viscosities of over 100,000 centipoise are achieved (Table A). Such fluid being the same in scope with the present invention, would inherently have half-life properties and pressure stability properties within the scope of the present invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Brookey (2001/0027880).

Brookey teaches a fluid for use in well drilling and servicing which comprises a surfactant within the scope of the present invention (see example 5 and paragraph 34),

Art Unit: 1712

aphrons and a viscosifier which is also a stabilizer (paragraph 0036). Brookey teaches that the fluid has a Low shear rate viscosity of at least 10,000 centipoise. To the extent that the compositions of Brookey have a low shear rate viscosity from 20,000 to 100,000 centipoise, then claims 15-17 are anticipated. If such is not anticipated, then it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make adjust the low shear rate viscosity of the fluids in order to improve their ability for use in well drilling operations.

Page 4

- 6. Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 33-40 are allowable over the art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip C Tucker whose telephone number is 571-272-1095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, Flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1712

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip C Tucker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

PCT-3129